


APAN45 - Ophthalmology session


March 26, 2018




1. How was the image sharpness?




2. How was the image movement?




3. How was the quality of sound?




4. How was the preparations?



5. How was the program?



6. Will you attend another teleconference session next time?



7. If you found any problems in teleconference, please write any comments you may have.

Audio from few centres was not good. Its not a technical problem, just need experience to handle these issues

The local engineer facing problem in sharing the ppt. While doing the testing try to communicate with chief engineer and keep practice.

sometime its difficult for remote station to share the ppt file

vidyo japan server suddently shut off during half of the session. all station was disconnected

The chairman dit not come on time :)

Delay of schedule due to technical preparation and late arrival of Co-Chair/first presenter. Less communication among engineers.

in another APAN, should be at least one Chief Engineer in venue for responsiblity in venue and remote site

the of one presenter side disconnected and later they connected. Firstly face problem to sharing desktop later solved .

nice meeting

7. If you found any problems in teleconference, please write any comments you may have.

echo from remote site

The session in general was a success

Need to be on time More involved and interactive

Point of Improvement is , host need to be punctual as per schedule so there is some delay at beginning and questioning floor is not open.

Initially voice was very low from the venue. letter on rectified.

Need more IT support

Overall sessions was very good

Sometimes connection was cut and images were frozen .

8. Which is your station?

